

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

NORTHRIM BANK,

Plaintiff,

v.

PEARL BAY SEAFOODS, LLC, JOSEPH
MARTUSHEV, MIRON I. BASARGIN,
ALEXANDER I. KALUGIN, NIKOLAI
IONATIEVICH BASARGIN, and IOASOPH
MARTUSHEV, *in personam*; and the Vessel
GLACIER BAY, Official Number 600325, *in
rem*,

Defendants.

Case No. C23-1042-RSM

ORDER GRANTING PORT OF
SEATTLE’S VERIFIED MOTION TO
INTERVENE PURSUANT TO FED. R.
CIV. P. 24

This matter comes before the Court on the Port of Seattle (the “Port”)’s Verified Motion to Intervene Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 24. Dkt. #23.

The Port asserts that it is a holder of a maritime lien asserting *in rem claims* against the vessel GLACIER BAY, Official Number 600325 (“Glacier Bay”), and *in personam* claims against Pearl Bay Seafoods, LLC. Dkt. #23 at 1–3. Accordingly, the Port seeks to intervene pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(a) and Local Admiralty Rule 131(a)–(b). *Id.* The Port’s Motion stands unopposed as neither Plaintiff Northrim Bank nor Defendants have responded to the Motion. *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LAR 131(b) (“[E]very other party that

1 has appeared in the action ... may object to the intervention by serving and filing a motion to
2 vacate within fourteen days after receipt of the motion and the complaint in intervention.”) The
3 Port “claims an interest relating to the property or transaction that is the subject of the action, and
4 is so situated that disposing of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede [its] ability
5 to protect its interest.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 24(a). Furthermore, no “existing parties adequately
6 represent” the Port’s interest. *Id.* Accordingly, the court GRANTS the Port’s Motion to
7 Intervene (Dkt. #23). Intervener Port of Seattle is hereby directed to file the Verified Complaint
8 (Dkt. #23-1).
9

10 DATED this 4th day of October, 2023.
11

12
13 

14 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28